

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,515	12/13/2001		Svyatoslav Ivanovich Arsenich	34846-PCT-USA (072170.010	•	
21003	7590	09/02/2004		EXAMINER		
BAKER & I	BOTTS		CHANG, AUDREY Y			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10)112		ARTONII	TAILERNOINDER	
				2872		

DATE MAILED: 09/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/019,515	ARSENICH, SVYATOSLAV IVANOVICH	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	لہ
	Audrey Y. Chang	2872	iar
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mai earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tireply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.	ccepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/019,515

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Remark

- This Office Action is in response to applicant's preliminary amendment filed on December 13,
 2001 which has been entered into the file.
- By this amendment, the applicant has amended claims 9 and 10.
- Claims 1-10 remain pending in this application.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: Figure 1,

Species B: Figure 2,

Species C: Figure 3,

Species D: Figure 4,

Species E: Figure 5,

Species F: Figure 6,

Species G: Figure 7,

Species H: monitor comprises an automatic corrector,

Species I: automatic corrector for displacing distinct lenticular raster,

Species J: forming stereoscopic image chairs for spectators,

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/019,515

Art Unit: 2872

Page 3

readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species B: Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, and 10,

Species D: Claims 1, 3, 5, 9, and 10,

Species E: Claims 1, 4, 5, 9, and 10,

Species H: Claims 6, 9 and 10,

Species I: Claims 7, 9 and 10,

Species J: Claims 8, 9 and 10.

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1 being generic to species B, D, E.

- The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: as demonstrated by the figures, the arrangements for the stereoscopic system and the operations for the systems are based on different technical features.
- A telephone call was not made to applicant's attorney to request an oral election to the above 4. restriction requirement, due to the complication of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Audrey Y. Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-2309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-4:30), alternative Mondays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/019,515

Art Unit: 2872

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Audrey Y. Chang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2872

A. Chang, Ph.D.